Project Name: LEADER Replacement System	
OCIO Project #: 0530-200	Sponsor to Executive
Department: Office of Systems Integration	Committee
Reporting Period: From: 7/1/09 To: 7/31/09	Committee

#### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Proposal Evaluation and Selection	6/22/09	7/8/09			7/30/09
Contract Negotiations	11/30/09	11/30/09	On Target		
Start of DD&I	7/1/10	7/1/10	On Target		

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

\* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	Х			
Milestones	Х			
Deliverables	Х			
Resources	Х			
One Time Cost	Х			
Continuing Cost	N/A			

Project Name: LEADER Replacement System	
OCIO Project #: 0530-200	Sponsor
Department: Office of Systems Integration	<u> </u>
eporting Period: From: 7/1/09 To: 7/31/09	

# Sponsor to Executive Committee

## **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Vital Sign Variance		Your Score	Score Justification
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	0	
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	Green O	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	ž	
	Strong Viability	0	0	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	Green O	
	Weak Viability	2	ň	
	<5%	0	0	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	Green O	
	>10%	2	ň	
1 0 11 0 1	<5%	0	0	
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-	5% to 10%	1	Green O	
to-Date (higher)	>10%	2	ň	
5 18 1 5 1 128 18 1	0 to 3	0	0	
<ol> <li>High-Probability, High-Impact</li> <li>Risks</li> </ol>	4 to 6	1	Green O	
RISKS	>6	2	ň	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	Green O	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	ň	
	Fully engaged	0	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	Green o	
	Inadequate engagement	2	ň	
	Strong alignment	0	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	Green	
	Weak or no alignment	2	Ä	
	Strong	0		
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	Gree 0	

Project Name: LEADER Replacement System

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Weak 2

Project Name: LEADER R	eplacement S	ystem	
OCIO Project #: 0530-200			Sponsor to Executive
Department: Office of S	stems Integra	tion	Committee
Reporting Period: From:	7/1/09	To: 7/31/09	Committee

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0		Ð	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0	Green	
following the scorecard)	Weak	2		n	
44 149 4 1875	>90% on time	0		0	
Milestone Hit Rate     (rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	0	Gree	
(late of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2		'n	
42 Deliverable Llit Bete	>90% on time	0		Ð	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	0	ireen	
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	ň		
	>90% assigned and available	0		Ð	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0	Green	
	<80% assigned and available	2		Š	
4.4 Overtime I Hilipation	<15%	0		Ð	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	0	гее	
	>25%	2		Ď	
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0		Ð	
	Moderately Effective	1	0	Gree	
	Ineffective	2		Ď	
		Total	0	G	

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale	
Hi degree of confidence and collaboration with the LRS planning vendor and LRS PMO.	
	l
	ļ
	!